

# WESTERN CAPE COLLEGE OF NURSING (WCCN) STANDARD

# OPERATIONAL PROCEDURE (SOP) MANAGING STUDENTS, ASSESSMENTS AND SUBJECTS AT RISK

Compiled by:	WCCN HOC's, HOD's	2016	
Signature: 🖟	Sol.	Updated and changed 17 Octobe 2021 17 April 2024 Rebranded	
Definitions	Academic: Theoretical and practical componen	ts related to the studies	
	towards a specific qualification as accredited by	the SANC.	
	Continuous Subject review: A process where evic	lence is collated of all	
	activities undertaken by HOD(WCCN) and marks	review committee in	
	respect of identification and remedial actions of	students and subjects at risl	
	This evidence will be availed at during final Subje	ct Review.	
	Feedback to students: Providing an opportunity f	or students to view their	
	marked assessment tasks with the focus on learni	ng from assessments to	
	improve learning.		
	Marks review committee: The marks review comr	mittee comprising of HOD	
	(WCCN) and subject lecturers. This committee wi	Il identify at risk students and	
	subjects after each Summative Assessment result	s are available.	
	Remedial Actions: Actions to improve learning ar	nd teaching based upon	
	evaluation of results, students' individual circums	tances and alignment of	
	teaching learning and assessment practices.		
	Remedial Plan: A plan devised by subject HOD's (WCCN) and subject		
	lecturers to improve learning and teaching. Inter	ventions will be standardize	
	but may be presented at different times.		
	Student: An individual registered at the WCCN fo	r the exclusive purpose of	
	study resulting in registration of a qualification wit	h the SANC.	
	Students at risk: Students who do not pass an ass	essment in one subject will	
	be investigated to determine performance in oth	ner subjects at the same	
	level.		
	Students who do not pass assessments in two sub	jects will be regarded as a	
	student at risk.		





	Subjects at risk: A subject will be regarded as subject at risk if cumulative pass			
	rate is less than 60% or if the subject had a pass rate of less than 60% in the			
	previous academic year.			
	Support: Professionals provide direct services for all, especially those who			
	are experiencing problems that create barriers to learning. Direct services			
	are provided by means such as education, counselling, consultation, and			
	individual assessment (Adapted from: North Carolina School support			
	services).			
Purpose:	Identifying at-risk assessments (under 60% pass rate for a primary			
	assessment for multi campus level)			
	• To identify at-risk students (early identification after failing an assessment)			
	• To identify at-risk students (failing more than 50% of subjects)			
	To identify at-risk subjects (under 60% pass rate)			
Identifying at-ris	sk assessments (under 60% pass rate for a primary assessment for all campuses)			
Steps in	1. Determine number of students who failed a primary assessment on multi			
identification	campus level when processing the marks.			
and	2. Inform the HOD of the assessment at risk.			
management	3. Determine reasons for poor performance, assess the student feedback on			
of an	teaching forms as well for this subject.			
assessment at	4. If under 60% pass rate for the primary assessment inform the moderator in			
risk- under	the moderator`s report.			
60% pass rate	5. After moderation, if the pass rate is still under 60% utilise the deferred\re-			
for a primary	assessment paper (moderated) as an assessment at risk or in case of			
assessment	clinical learning assessment the deferred\re-assessment.			
multi-campus	6. HOD inform the students regarding the assessment at risk, the date, time,			
	and venue of assessment.			
	7. HOD informs the students of the date of the feedback sessions regarding			
	the assessment at risk and provide feedback to the students.			
	8. Have remedial sessions with these students on a multi campus level.			
	9. All students are granted another assessment. It is the students` own			
	choice to write the assessment or redo the clinical assessment or not. The			
	better of the two marks will be utilised (primary assessment mark or			
	assessment at risk mark).			
	10. HOD Provides a detailed report regarding the assessment at risk,			
	management of the assessment at risk and the outcomes to the WCCN			





	Academic Head and keep records in subject review file (See Addendum
	1).
	11. Alignment with other policies and procedures: WCCN Marking SOP,
	Moderation SOP, Publication of marks and Assessment Tasks SOP.
	To identify at-risk students
Steps in early	1. Determine students who failed an assessment.
identification of a student	2. Compare results in other subjects at same level.
when failing	3. Students will be notified both verbally and in writing as soon as they meet
an assessment	one of the risk criteria. The notification will advise that the student must
	communicate with the Subject HOD\lecturer.
	4. Schedule interview with students using a standardised interview schedule
	immediately after publication of results (see Addendum 2).
	5. Document findings and share with educators at same level and HOD.
	6. Implement remedial actions.
	7. The HOD and lecturers have follow-up appointments with students.
	8. Submit reports to HOD and file in subject review file and complete
	Addendum 6 to be included in the Senate report.
	9. Conduct student feedback after each block period and submit to HOD
	(See Addendum 5).
Steps in	1. Determine students who failed a subject.
identification of a student at	2. Compare results in other subjects at same level.
risk (failing	3. Identify students who failed more than one subject.
more than 50% of	4. Students will be notified both verbally and in writing, immediately after
subjects)	publication of marks they meet one of the risk criteria. The notification will
	advise that the student must communicate with the Subject HOD.
	5. Schedule interview with students using a standardized interview schedule
	(see Addendum 2). The HOD will outline all the options\remedial actions
	available to the student.
	6. Implement remedial actions.
	7. If a student continues to make unsatisfactory progress, they may be
	asked to show reason why they should not be excluded from the course.
	8. Document findings and share with educators at same level and Head of
	Academia.





	9. Have follow-up appointments with students.			
	10. Submit reports to HOD and file in subject review file.			
	11. Conduct student feedback after each block period and submit to HOD			
	(see Addendum 5).			
	. Alignment with other processes: WCCN Marking SOP, Moderation SOP,			
	Publication of marks and Assessment Tasks SOP.			
Scope of	• A remedial plan must be developed and in place at the beginning of			
remedial	each semester.			
action/	Remedial plan must be standardised across campuses in terms of actions			
	and content. Scheduling may differ to accommodate local differences			
	at each campus.			
	Remedial plan must outline all related aspects such as			
	<ul> <li>Financial requirements of student</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>People management</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Student counseling\Metropolitan referral unit</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Peer teachers identified</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Deferment of the subject or year</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Assistance with academic and learning skills</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Assistance with language skills</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Assistance with personal issues</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Mentoring by academic staff</li> </ul>			
	o Referral			
	o Follow-up			
	Remedial classes may be scheduled during theoretical and clinical			
	learning placement period (lecturers per subject per campus responsible			
	to schedule their own remedial sessions taking into consideration central			
	macro plan on the number of remedial to be planned per subject and to			
	take into consideration logistical challenges and available budget).			
	Do a formative assessments during remedial classes to monitor			
	understanding and learning.			
	<ul> <li>Lecturer will sign clinical learning book/attendance register/academic</li> </ul>			
	progress record for attending remedial classes where applicable.			
	<ul> <li>Attendance register for remedial classes must be maintained, recorded in</li> </ul>			
	the register and a copy thereof submitted to HOD and a copy kept in the			
	subject review file.			
	At-risk subjects (under 60% pass rate)			
	Al-lisk subjects (under 60% pass rate)			



Steps in identification of at-risk subjects (under 60% pass rate)	<ol> <li>Determine students who failed a subject at the end of semester or year, under 60% pass rate.</li> <li>Compare results in other subjects at same level.</li> <li>Document findings and share with educators at same level and Head of Academia.</li> <li>Conduct student feedback after each block period and submit to HOD (See Addendum 5).</li> </ol>
	<ol> <li>Complete at-risk subject lecturer report (See Addendum 3).</li> <li>Complete the department of inventory of subject success indicators (See Addendum 4).</li> <li>Submit reports to HOD and file in subject review file.</li> </ol>
Interventions for at-risk subjects (under 60% pass rate)	<ul> <li>Feedback to be included in the Departmental quality improvement plan (DQIP) report.</li> </ul>
	Alignment with other processes
Alignment	<ul> <li>WCCN Marking SOP, Moderation SOP, Publication of marks and Assessment Tasks SOP.</li> <li>Assessment Policy</li> <li>Subject review policy</li> <li>Student at risk policy</li> <li>Student refection on learning and teaching policy</li> <li>Student academic support policy</li> <li>Policy on the setting and handling of assessment papers and scripts across a multi campus setup.</li> </ul>





### AT-RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF ..... AT-RISK ASSESSMENT LECTURER REPORT (FOR DEPARTMENTAL USE ONLY)

DATE OF EVALUATION DEPARTMENT SUBJECT GROUP CODE LECTURER/S MODERATOR/S (a) (b)	Number of students registered :
(C)	% Passes :
(d)	Number of distinctions :

If the pass-rate is less than 60%, please state possible reasons why it is less than 60% and suggest interventions

Lecturer/s Comments	
Madazataz (a	
Moderator/s Comments	
Students`	
Evaluation of	
Subject (Summary)	
Interventions (Previous)	
Interventions	
(New)	
Other Comments	

SIGNATURE LECTUER:	DATE:
SIGNATURE HOD:	









#### WESTERN CAPE COLLEGE OF NURSING

#### STUDENT INTERVIEW AND MARKS REVIEW

Nature of meeting:				
Date:			Time:	
Venue:				
Attendees:				
Reason for meeting:	Marks Review	Student	Other: (cla	ify)
(Tick the correct		academic		
box)		support		
Clarify the purpose of	the meeting. Enco	ourage participati	on. Develop a w	orking proposal.
Agree on actions and	set a follow-up me	eeting.		
Main Points				
Outcome and Way Fo	nward			
Signatures:				
signatores.				
	o			
HOD\Lecturer \ ment	UI.			
Student				
Student:				
ddendum 3				







# AT-RISK SUBJECT LECTURER REPORT DEPARTMENT OF

AT-RISK SUBJECT LECTURER REPORT (FOR DEPARTMENTAL USE ONLY)

DATE OF EVALUATION DEPARTMENT	:
SUBJECT	:
GROUP	
CODE	:
LECTURER/S	:
MODERATOR/S	:
(e)	Number of students registered :
(f)	Number of passes :
(g)	% Passes :
(h)	Number of distinctions :

If the pass-rate is less than 60%, please state possible reasons why it is less than 60% and suggest interventions

Lecturer/s	
Comments	
Moderator/s Comments	
Students`	
Evaluation of	
Subject (Summary)	
Interventions	
(Previous)	
Interventions	
(New)	
Other Comments	

SIGNATURE LECTUER:	DATE
SIGNATURE HOD:	





# INVENTORY OF SUBJECT SUCCESS INDICATORS



	SUCESS INDICATOR		scores			
					SUBJECT SCORE	COMMENT
		1	2	3		
1	Subject Guide - Contains syllabus outline - Lecture and tutorial venues and dates - Reading and resource list (including e- learning sites) - Full set of	No guide or guide does not meet standard	Guide meets standard	Guide exceeds standard		
	assessments, assessment criteria and due dates					
2	Lecture venue         -       Seating is adequate         -       Writing surfaces are adequate         -       Accessible seating (e.g., for students in wheelchairs)         -       Acoustics are adequate         -       Ventilation, temperature, etc. are adequate	Venue does not meet standard	Venue meets standard	Venue exceeds standard		
3	Media availability-Data projector and PC/laptop available-DVD compatible-Functional, visible screen-Connectivity	No media available	Basic media available	Excellent media		
4	Simlab facilities (only for subjects that have simlab based teaching/practical's) - Simlab is available	n/a	Adequate	Good		



Western Cape Government FOR YOU



		1	1	1	<b></b>	,ı
	and has adequate				.	
	space					
1						ļl
	- Equipment and				.	
1	supplies are				.	
1	adequate and				.	
1	appropriate					
1	- Clinical Supervisor					
1	is available				.	
1	- Meets SANC	1				
1	requirements					
5	Student diagnostic	n/a	Νο	Diagnostic	<u> </u>	┨─────┤
5		174	-			
1	testing/use of LMS 'Early Warning System'		diagnostic tosting	testing		
1	Warning System'		testing		.	
1	- NBT or other					
1	placement test has					
	been/will be done					
	(in the case of first					
1	year)	]				
1	- Arrangements for					
	early warning					
	system/diagnostic					
	testing					
6	Resources for students	1				╂────┤
0					.	
	- Availability of				.	
	textbooks (or on-					
ĺ	line resources)					
L	- Library holdings		<b> </b>	ļ	ļ	
7	Lecturer's subject	B-degree	M-degree	D-degree	.	
ĺ	qualification					
	- Qualification level					
	in the					
	discipline/field					
8	Lecturer's educational	None	Non-formal	Formal	<u> </u>	
	qualification					
	- Non-formal				.	
1	qualifications (e.g.,					
1						
1	TDP, CHEC Short					
1	courses on learning					
1	and teaching)	l	<u> </u>	<b> </b>	ļ,	I
	- Formal					
	qualification (e.g.,	Ì				
	HDHET, M Phil					
1	(Higher Education)				.	
9	Lecturer's workload	Excessive	Manageable	Comfortable	 	
1	- An excessive				.	
1	workload - more					
1		Ì				
1	than 20 contact					
1	hours/week;		+		ļ,	ļ
	- A manageable			✓		
1	workload – around					
	15 contact					
	hours/week	Ì				
L		1		J	. <u> </u>	I



Western Cape Government FOR YOU



	Acomfortable				[	
	- A comfortable					
	workload – less					
	than 15 contact					
10	hours/week	Net		llinkt		
10	Supportive environment	Not	Moderately	Highly		
	- Functionality of the	supportive	supportive	supportive		
	environment (e.g.,					
	state of repair of					
	buildings and					
	equipment)					
	- Availability of		e			
	leadership, support					
	and advice;					
	- Collegiate working					
	environment.					
11	Part time lecturers (To be	n/a	Hourly claim	Contract		
	filled in for subjects partly					
	or wholly taught by part-					
	time lecturers)			· · · · ·		
12	Time allocated for	No	N/A	Timetabled		
	students' individual study	timetabled		individual		
	- The timetabled	individual		study		
	period for					
	individual study					
	(e.g., in library);			·		
	- There is physical	l study		periods		
	space (e.g., small	periods				
	group meeting					
	rooms) for					
	individual/peer					
	group study	,		<b>_</b> .		
13	Subject tutors (for first year	n/a	Tutors not	Tutors		
	and second year		provided	provided		
	subjects/courses)					
	- In the case of first					
	year subjects,					
	subject-based					
	<ul> <li>tutors have been</li> <li>identified and (or</li> </ul>					
	identified and/or					
14	trained	n (a	Mantaranat	Montoro		
14	Peer Mentors (for first year	n/a	Mentors not provided	Mentors provided		
	<pre>subjects/courses)</pre>		provided	provided		
	year subjects, mentors have					
	been identified					
	and/or trained					





Additional comments

(Subjects potentially 'at risk' score to be considered – e.g., relative weightings of indicators) (This form to be updated on an annual basis – dependent on the final Departmental Subject Review report)







Student feedback plays an important part in improving the quality of teaching in the institution. We would thus ask you to take this feedback seriously and to give honest, constructive responses to the questions asked. The completed questionnaire will be scored and returned to the lecturer concerned. The main purpose of the questionnaire is to provide lecturers with information so that they can improve their own teaching. There will be a discussion between the lecturer and the Head of Department about the results of the feedback.

INSTRUCTIONS (applicable when working on a hard copy)

Use a Black pen.

Image: Subject.Fill in the following fields on the (pink) scanner sheet: DATE, COURSE and

DO NOT SUPPLY YOUR NAME OR STUDENT NUMBER.

Read through the statements and rate your lecturer for each statement by making an "X" in the appropriate box below:

For each of the statements below, fill in the appropriate circle. Use "Not Applicable if the statement does not apply.

	SUBJECT	l have a problem	Not Satisfact	Accepta	Quite	Excellent	Not Applicab
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	The lecturer speaks clearly. The work is covered at the right speed. The lecturer starts class on time. The lecturer knows the subject matter very well. The lecturer behaves in a professional way. The lecturer treats all students with respect and dignity. The lecturer makes the subject interesting. I understand the explanations given by this lecturer.						
9. 10. 11. 12.	The lecturer's presentations are well-planned. The lecture notes and hand-outs are of a high standard. The lecture notes and hand-outs are available when needed. All sections of the class work are given a fair amount of time.						
13. 14. 15.	The lecturer gives clear guidelines on the standard of work expected from us. The way the lecturer controls the class contributes positively to my learning experience. The lecturer encourages questions during class.						





16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	My fellow-students have contributed positively to my learning experience in class. I am happy with the amount of practical work we've been exposed to. We were given enough homework to be able to understand the work. I am happy with the help I got from tutors. The type of media (Overhead slides, PowerPoint, e-Learning, etc) the lecturer used in class was used effectively to explain the work.			
21.	Every time we had an assessment task, the instructions were			
	clear.			
22.	It is usually clear what we have to do to get the marks.			
23.	All our assessment tasks covered to the work we were taught.			
24.	All tests / assignments were given back within a reasonable time			
25.	The lecturer's feedback comments on tests / assignments were useful.			
26.	The marks I received were fair for the work that I put in. There were enough tests and assignments for me to be able			
27.	to measure my progress.			
	Test questions mostly required an in-depth understanding of			
28.	the subject.			
29.	Test questions are mainly types we had done before.			







Recordkeeping of support offered to students															
CAMPUS		METRO SOUTHERN CAPE KAROO							PROGRAMME	R 169	R171	R174			
ACADEMIC	YEAR	2 <sup>nd</sup> ye	ear	3 <sup>rd</sup> year	3 <sup>rd</sup> year 4 <sup>th</sup> year REPORT PERIOD			JAI	N - MAR	JUL - SEP	DEC				
LECTURER: Contact number: SUBJECT															
Date	Studen	ł		S. number			Challenge Sup			Support o	ffered to student		Comment		

Date sent to Head of Department:

